

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JERRY HOOKS,)	3:12-cv-00682-RCJ-WGC
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u>
)	
vs.)	March 18, 2014
)	
BRUCE BANNISTER, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE LYNN OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the Court is Plaintiff's "F.R.CV.P. Rule 26 Motion for Discovery." (Doc. # 61.) Therein, plaintiff seeks this court to enter an "order and acknowledgment of Plaintiff's (Hooks) Interest in Formal Discovery Process." (*Id.*) However, Defendants have not answered Plaintiff's Complaint. Defendants' answer or other responsive pleading is not due until April 19, 2014. (Doc. # 50 at 5.) A scheduling order which allows discovery to commence will issue after Defendants answer Plaintiff's Complaint. L.R. 16-1(b). Therefore, discovery (or a "motion for discovery") is premature.

Plaintiff's Motion (Doc. # 61) is therefore **DENIED without prejudice**. As stated above, a scheduling order will be issued if Defendants answer or if good cause otherwise appears that discovery should proceed herein. L.R. 16.1.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk